

http://dx.doi.org/10.12785/ijcds/130102

A Low Leakage Down-conversion K-Band MIXER using Current-Reuse Double-Balanced Architecture in 130-nm CMOS Process for Modern RF Applications

Zohaib Hasan Khan¹, Shailendra Kumar¹ and Deepak Balodi²

¹Integral University, Lucknow ²BBD Engineering College, Lucknow

Received 7 Jul. 2021, Revised 15 Dec. 2022, Accepted 12 Jan. 2023, Published 31 Jan. 2023

Abstract: A low noise and high conversion gain mixer is presented in this work which is effectively utilizing the differential biasing condition, separately for the transconductance stage and the switching stage, due to the folded double-balanced current reuse design. This has provided a great opportunity to work on the noise performance by reducing the noise figure separately for both stages. The mixer's architecture has been planned initially for the C-band and has been tested for the same, then the design parameters have been scaled with the same topology for the K- band (23 to 25 GHz). In this range of RF bandwidth, the proposed mixer's architecture shows the highest conversion gain of 24.2 dB, additionally with an excellent noise performance (noise figure of 7.9 dB) where a -3 dBm signal power has been fed from the local oscillator (LO). A higher extent of linearity has also been achieved with the 1-dB compression point of -17.8 dBm at the RF frequency of 24 GHz. From 23 to 25 GHz, the LO-to-RF, LO-to-IF isolations are approximately 36 dB and 30 dB, respectively. Implemented double balance current reuse architecture of the proposed mixer shows a power dissipation of 26.8 mW with a relatively higher power supply bias of 2.5 V. All the simulations have been performed on Advanced Design System (Keysight Technology) with 130 nm RF-CMOS (UMC) technology.

Keywords: Conversion gain, noise figure, CMOS, down-conversion mixer, folded architecture, current-reuse, k-band

1. INTRODUCTION

The wireless components in the RF-CMOS domain which are used for direct-conversion architectures, not only offer a compact-sized mobile terminal but also pave the wave for a low-cost RF solution (in terms of both, software and hardware) using optimum power. Also, for bandwidth and modern spectrum versatility, wideband RF CMOS components are expected to remain compatible with high data-rate applications, such as 3G, 4G and 5G wireless communications (especially WLAN as Bluetooth and WiFi), and multi-standard applications. For such a wide range of applications, the noise of the RF components needs to be designed as low as possible as a significantly high SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) performance is sought by the end-to-end mobile units. Mixer's architecture, used in the RF transceiver for frequency mixing, could be active or passive depending upon the components and circuit topology used in the design. Active mixes are more attractive and therefore preferred in most of the wireless applications, due to their better conversion gain (relatively much higher than passive counterpart). They also have the ability to suppress the noise which is being contributed by the stages postmixer placement in the receiver chain. Gilbert cell architecture (transconductor with switch: GmSw) and switchingtransconductance (SwGm) architectures are the two major types of active down-conversion mixers [1]. In the Gilbert cell mixer, a large LO signal is fed to the transconductance stage which then mixes with the tail RF current of the same transconductance stage working like a switch, and produces the frequency conversion from RF to IF port.

Nowadays, CMOS technology is widely used for most RF building blocks implementation. Especially, in RF receivers for modern wireless communication systems, all of the active RF building blocks are implemented by CMOS technology. It is because that the CMOS technology is capable of integration with a baseband chipset and offers low-cost implementation [2]. Therefore, the CMOS technology and the direct-conversion architecture are welcomed for their great versatility and cost-effective viewpoint. However, the commercialization of low-noise CMOS RF receiver with the direct conversion architecture has not been easily achieved by the intrinsic drawbacks of standard CMOS processes in RF perspectives, that is, a low transconductance, low unit gain frequency (fT), and high flicker noise [2], [3]. The low values of transconductance and fT provide

E-mail address: zohaibhasankhan@mail.com, shail288@gmail.com, deepakbalodi@gmail.com



high thermal noise. The implementation of a low flicker noise CMOS mixer is the efficient and sole way for low flicker noise RF receiver. Lots of work and literature have been reported so far, where the efforts have been placed to predict and model the behavior of the noise impact (mainly thermal noise and flicker noise) involved in the operation of Gilbert cell mixer's architecture [2],[2],[3],[4],[5]. Most of the modern RF design trends show a simultaneous and parallel arrangement of several single band RF front ends to achieve an overall multiband operation [6]. This raises the number of RF components in the architecture as they are being replicated for different RF bands but with similar topology and increases the total power dissipation, the physical size of the system and the overall cost of the RF front end design. A contemporary and more optimized approach is to use a single RF front-end architecture for multiband operation, where each band is used one by one in a reuse concept [7]. This requires a rigorous hardware reuse topology by bands and strict protocols but increases the hardware efficiency to a greater extent. This approach is also appealing for millimetre-wave (mmW) transceivers on silicon to increase the versatility, save the chip area, and reduce power consumption.

This paper consists of the qualitative analysis of the modern RF down-conversion mixers and presents an optimum solution with double balanced current reuse architecture. Section 2 discusses various challenges in the RF receiver architectures and constraints to the conventional mixers' topologies. Section 3 depicts three major aspects of the multi-band down-conversion mixer architectures, namely high conversion gain, sub-harmonic dual-band operation and current reuse cross-coupled double-balanced operation. This work tries to incorporate all these aspects and proposes a double-balanced cross-coupled architecture in 130 nm RF-CMOS (UMC) technology. High linearity has also been attempted to achieve with high transconductance differential pair (with larger dimensions) and a higher 1dB compression point is targeted. Section 4 finally presents the proposed mixer architecture, which offers the optimum performance on the above-said aspects. Transient analysis and harmonic balance simulations have been performed to investigate the mixing behaviour and linearity assessment. The successful mixing behaviour with high linearity and optimum noise cancellation establish the usefulness of the proposed double-balanced down conversion mixer architecture.

2. RF MIXER TOPOLOGIES FOR MODERN COM-MUNICATION

There are also various forms of RF mixer that need to be understood. One of the first relates to the type of electronic components or devices within the mixer: Most of the RF mixers available and designed so far don't possess any significant gain typically, but instead provide better isolation and implementation. That class of mixers is basically addressed as passive mixers. In most of the passive architectures, Schottky diodes are used as the switching el-



Figure 1. RF Mixer model with associated leakage and feedthrough problem

ement, having an additional low turn-on voltage advantage. For this, they need balanced transformers for most highperformance designs and this can limit the frequency band over which they can operate [8]. One key aspect of passive mixers is that they introduce what is called a conversion loss, explained later, and this can have an impact on the RF circuit design. In the second important class of mixers known as Active Mixers, transistors are used as electronic switching element, providing the essential mixing operation. The significant advantage of active architecture is a high gain with reasonable isolation. Unlike passive mixers, active mixers can have a conversion gain, and this will affect the RF design for the item [8]. RF mixers or frequency mixers can also be categorized according to whether they are balanced or unbalanced [9]. This is an important decision to make.

Unbalanced: An unbalanced RF mixer is a basic form of RF mixer which performs the fundamental task of mixing and provides sum and difference of the two input signals to it (RF input and LO input). Output also comprises a significant amplitude of original RF signal in addition with oscillator's component, which in turn results in poor isolation [10],[11]. As there is little isolation between the ports this can lead to increased levels of intermodulation distortion as well as the local oscillator and RF signals being present on the output.

Balanced: A balanced mixer is one in which the ports have a balanced or differential structure. Dependent upon the actual type there can be isolation between the different ports, and the LO and RF can be suppressed at the IF port. There are different types of balanced mixer: single balanced; double-balanced and triple balanced (more correctly termed a doubly double-balanced mixer).

The isolation level between the ports is often important and it states the level of what may be termed the leakage between the different ports. The RF and local oscillator are not normally needed at the IF, and if, for example, the local oscillator leaks through to the RF port, it could give rise to intermodulation distortion (Figure 1). As might be expected the isolation is measured in terms of dB, comparing the



signal entering one port, to the same signal level at the other port where it is not required. It is found that mixer isolation tends to deteriorate with increasing frequency as the reactance of stray capacitance falls, and also the circuit imbalances become more apparent [11],[12]. One major issue with any RF mixer is the level of unwanted signals that are generated within the mixing process. Non-linearities within the mixer give rise to additional signals and these can cause issues in many ways dependent upon the circuit design or system in which they are used. The third-order intercept point of a mixer (or amplifier) is a hypothetical point where the power of the third-order products will have the same power level as the fundamental. The third-order intercept point of a mixer of any other device is theoretical because it lies well beyond the saturation level of the device, and in many cases, it would be well beyond the point at which damage occurred, especially in the case of a mixer. The reason that the IP3 figure is useful is that it provides a very good guide or figure of merit for the distortion generated by the device as the power levels rise.

3. CHALLENGES IN RF MIXER DESIGNS FOR MULTI BAND OPERATIONS

The section demonstrates the advanced architecture of the RF down-conversion mixers and the related challenges. The related efforts and publications are also mentioned along with. An optimum design to tackle these issues will be then evolved in the next section.

A. Sub-Harmonic and Fundamental Frequency-based Dual Band Operation

The most attractive and useful component in the RF multi-band front-end structure is the multi-band mixer where the noise performance is a critical factor. In [18], a dual-band mixer was proposed where the mixer's architecture uses a matching network. This comprises the switched inductor topology and the inductor size has been chosen wisely to fit the frequency range of interest. In another similar effort [13], an LC matching network was used for the dual-band operation where the two frequency bands of interest are being served by the proposed mixer's topology. In addition to this, a combination of composite right-left handed transmission lines was also used [19] to implement a dual-band mixer. In all the above-said efforts, multiple local oscillators (LOs) were used for multiband operations. On the other side, a novel approach in [14] offers a dualband self-oscillating mixer topology, where the fundamental and the second harmonic of the LO was used to mix with the set of complementary switches. In another similar effort [20], the third harmonic component of LO in addition to the fundamental was used for the mixing with the input signal to get the desired band selection at the IF port. Reconfigurability to the spectrum has been an attractive tool to bring in flexibility in the performance of the RF system like mixers, antenna and Low Noise Amplifiers (LNAs). Similar reconfigurability was attempted using a passive Sub-Harmonic Mixer (SHM), where a ring oscillator with multistage injection locking was used to generate several phases of the LO signals [21]. After the selection of an appropriate LO phase, finally, the mixer was reconfigured in between the subharmonic operation and the fundamental operational modes.

B. High Conversion Gain RF Mixers for K-band applications (Gain-Boosted)

The major job of a down-conversion mixer is to get the RF signal at the input and mix it with the LO signal and finally provide the intermediate frequency signal at the output. A high LO voltage signal is generally sought to minimize the conversion losses which are mainly associated with a passive structure of the down-conversion mixer this ultimately downgrades the performance of the mixer and imposes a difficult constraint on the LO structure design. This also incurs high-power dissipation losses. This demand for an active down-conversion mixer also invites the low noise figure, high linearity and better isolation from various ports in addition to the high conversion gain. These requirements become more stringent if the application shifts to a higher frequency side like a K band or Ku band application. However, various technological aspects like Silicon leakage losses, technology integration and low quality (Q) passive components makes it difficult to achieve the high conversion gain, low noise figure and better isolation for K band mixers.

Lots of efforts have been made to tackle the aforementioned challenges in the area of down-conversion CMOS mixer design. The conventional current bleeding technique has been used by Chang, et al. and Lin, et al. respectively for a k band mixer in a 180 nm CMOS process. K-band downconversion mixers with current-bleeding technique in 0.18µm CMOS [10], [22]. A high conversion gain of 8.4 dB with the noise figure of 11.6 dB achieved has been achieved in the work offered [10]. The other proposal [23], [?] also demonstrated k -band down-conversion mixer in the 180-nm CMOS process which provided the improved linearity of -13.6 dBm IP1 and a high conversion gain of 10.7 dB. Using the PMOS cross-coupled pair as an active load in 0.18um CMOS, Chang, et al. demonstrated a K-band downconversion mixer with the measured peak CG of 11.9 dB at 23 GHz and a -3-dB bandwidth of 7 GHz [24]. Recently Yao Peng, Jin He et al. [25] presented a new K-band downconversion mixer, which has been designed and fabricated using a 130-nm RF CMOS process. A common problem is to have the same biasing for both the transconductance stage as well as switch stage in differential topology, which offers the limitation to the gm of the transconductance stage. This is improved by the folded double-balanced architecture of the mixers in which the bias circuitry is different for the transconductance and switch stages and hence the transconductance stage is separately biased for a high gm. This improves the noise reduction significantly, without having much impact on the bias circuit of the switch stage. In addition to this, the current reuse technique greatly improves the transconductance of the gm stage, and a cross-coupled structure offers high active loading. This in



References	[1]	[13]	[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	This work*
Technology	0.18µm	0.8µm SiGe	0.13µm	65nm	65nm	0.13µm	0.13µm
reennology	CMOS	BiCMOS	CMOS	CMOS	CMOS	CMOS	CMOS
Power Sup- ply (V)	1	2.5	1.8	1	1	1.5	2.5
Topology	Active Mixer with cross- coupled outputs	Dual-band	Self- oscillating dual-band	gain- boosted current- bleeding down- conversion	Transformer- Coupling Cascode Topology	CMOS Gilbert Mixer with improved NF	Double- balanced current reuse architecture
Conversion gain (dB)	12	10.5, 10.1	5-12	12	9.5	11.4	24.2
Linearity (Compres- sion pt.)	-	IIP3: 2.6dBm, 0.8dBm	IIP3: 12dBm, 13dBm	IP1: - 5.2dBm	IP1: - 3.8dBm	IIP3: 4.4dBm	IP1: - 17.8dBm
Isolation	-	Return loss: 15dB, 10dB	LO-RF: 40dB, RF-IF: 35dB	LO-RF: 30dB	LO-RF: 48dB	-	LO-RF: 36dB, LO- IF: 30dB
Noise Figure (dB)	1-2dB less NF than conven- tional	12.6, 13	8.7, 10.9 (DSB)	33.5 LO: - 3dBm	9.2 (SSB) LO: -3dBm	13.2 (SSB)	7.9 LO: - 3dBm
Application (band)	WLAN, Upto 4 GHz	900MHz and 1800MHz (2G services)	5-6GHz, 9.8- 11.8GHz	113- 127GHz (D-band)	62-90GHz (mm Wave)	2.4GHz	24-26GHz (K-band)
Special fea- tures	Use of Low ohmic switches to get high Gm	Dual-band matching network	Complementary switches, LO generates fundamental & harmonics	Current- bleeding technique to improve CG	Use of noise- reduction transformer with harmonic suppression	Use of PMOS switch circuits to improve NF	Superior linearity with double balanced architecture

TABLE I. Comparative evaluation of the proposed mixer architecture again some contemporary published works

addition to the aforementioned benefit, greatly improves the conversion gain (High CG) and noise figure (Low NF) of the down-conversion mixer.

C. Current Reuse using Cross-Coupled Technique for Double-Balanced Structures

In RF front end blocks, LO noise propagates progressively and reaches to the IF output. A common practice to tackle this problem significantly, is to opt for differentially balanced architecture which suppresses the noise propagation to the later stages. A balanced mixer uses a four-port hybrid junction as shown in Figure 2.

Input terminal of a balanced architecture is fed with LO and RF signals, as shown in Figure 2. The remaining two ports consists of diode as switching element. The balanced connection is made such that, at the input of one diode receives the sum of LO and RF, whereas another diode processes the difference between the two. One stringent requirement for the successful mixing under balanced cri-



Figure 2. A balanced mixer topology

terion is that both the diodes must possess exactly the same characteristics with close matching. As the LO noise remains in phase at both the diodes, it gets cancelled, and level of cancellation strongly depends upon the matching of the nonlinear devices (diodes here). It is often advantageous to also provide balanced connections to the local oscillator, in which case the mixer is said to be double-balanced, or even to both the input signal and local oscillator (a triplebalanced mixer). Now, as switching nonlinear elements possess the finite conducting losses, this in turn degrades the conversion gain and the value differs significantly from the idealistic mark. Amplitude of LO signal also impacts the conversion gain significantly, as it is cause behind ON and OFF switching of the nonlinear mixing elements. A higher amplitude of LO signal provides a higher conversion gain but at the cost of more power dissipation. Real (passive) microwave mixers usually have conversion loss varying from about 6 dB to 11 dB. Figure 3 depicts the conventional folded double-balanced architecture of a down-conversion mixer, in which transconductance and load stages are mentioned separately.

The original unbalanced external inputs have to be first converted to the balanced mode, for which RF and LO baluns have been implemented using the on-chip transformers. The complementary pairs of M1-M2 and M3-M4 are used in the inverter topology which finally provide the current reuse phenomena and thereby give the high transconductance gain gm. The additional advantage of noise in terms of input-referred noise is obtained due to the inverting pairs for the differential transistors of the RF stage. Transistors M5-M8 constitute the switch stage which is being fed by the RF signals from the differential architecture. These LO signals are already obtained in the balance mode by the input balun. The required driving LO power is significantly reduced by maximizing the sizes of the transistors M5 to M8 which build the switch stage, as they are being driven by the low overdrive gate voltages [16]. A passive T-section is implemented and connected at the common switching node of the switch which is made up of LC (inductors L1 and L2 and a bypass capacitor C0) components. This serves the improvisation in the conversion gain using the resonant mode of L1 and L2 with the parasitic capacitances at the operating frequency and reduces the leakage of the differential RF currents (thereby reducing noise figure) with compensation of gatedrain capacitances of transistors M1-M4 [26]. The LO to RF leakage is significantly reduced due to this and better isolation is achieved [27],[11].

A very high-quality factor is aimed to be achieved while implementing the inductors L1 and L2 at the frequency of 24 GHz. An active load stage with very high equivalent load impedance is required to obtain the high conversion gain which is obtained by the cross-coupled pairs implemented with M11-R9 and M12-R10. Finally, the transistors M9 and M10 are serving the current biasing phenomena which are directly biased with VB4 to source the current. To make the IF port free from loading effects and achieve a strong driving phenomenon, the self-bias inverting mode amplifiers are implemented using transistors M13 to M16 with the feedback resistors R7 and R8.

The above detailing and explanation of the current reuse cross-coupled differential structure for the mixing



Figure 3. Folded double-balanced down conversion mixer

phenomenon makes the understanding of the topology very clear and helps in improvisation of the architecture [12], [28]. This also explained all the major constraints related to the important parameters like conversion gain, isolation, leakage and noise reduction.

D. Noise Cancelling Architectures

Evaluation of noise performance in the case of the mixer becomes significantly important as the blocks ahead of it have a severe constraint on the noise component of the signal. The noise contribution from the mixer architecture comes while they perform a multiplication process (in the time domain) or frequency conversion (in the frequency domain). The main signal power as well as the noise signal both get amplified, attenuated or filtered by the mixer characteristic (using either conversion gain or frequency selectivity of the mixer). But the Omnipresent character of the noise depicted by its white distribution in the frequency domain makes the output signal vulnerable [9]. Most importantly, a significant amount of additional noise is contributed from the active solid-state devices used in the mixer architecture design. This noise performance of the mixer and other RF components is generally measured in terms of noise figure which is defined as the proportion of signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) from input to output [9],[29]. In the specific case of a down-conversion mixer the noise figure could be defined in two ways: using SSB noise figure; or considering the DSB noise figure [30]. In the case of the SSB noise figure, the noise component of the RF signal is taken down from both the upper and lower sideband whereas the signal component is translated only from the single-sideband (as the other one is considered to be an image). Opposite to this in the case of the DSB noise figure the signal, as well as noise both, are considered to be translated down for the noise figure evaluation. Therefore, total signal power is doubly impacted by the noise in the case of the SSB noise figure as there is no RF counterpart for the remaining sideband. This in turn provides a noise figure 3dB more for the SSB-NF definition as compared to





Figure 4. Noise folding phenomenon in mixer operation

DSB. The matching interfaces are also equally important in the RF system design other than the noise figure, hence the input-referred noise voltage is also an important parameter for the noise evaluation. The noise figure formula provided by Friis [29],[17] for the cascaded systems proves to be an important tool for power defining signal values. Mixers usually have a poor noise performance with the noise figure ranging from 6 to 10 dB. This is a consequence of the signal loss in the switching, but also of noise being transferred from multiple frequency bands to the output, as shown in Figure 4.

An idealized signal generator is expected to assist the mixer, as practically an LO signal generators have certain significant sidebands other than the desired impulse spectrum, which later on folds the noise to the IF or baseband of the output signal of the mixer. Due to this most of the circuit designers consider the LO design as a part of the complete mixer's architecture design. For example, the switching loss in a double balance architecture of a mixer remains in the range of 3-4 dB, whereas due to the LO signal noise impact it increases a few decibels higher and reaches around 5-6 dB. The overall noise evaluation in a mixer could be done at three stages first at the input stage, secondly at the main switching stage which consists of the mixer's architecture, and lastly the output stage of the IF port. Due to the frequency mixing phenomena of the mixer's operation, its characteristic is not straightforward and rather becoming time-varying. This time-varying behaviour makes the noise calculation and evaluation a little bit cumbersome. Constant current bias injection has been a prominent approach to reduce the current impact by deteriorating the noise current pulses trying to appear at the output. Several mechanisms and approaches in this regard have been tried and published [11],[12],[28], [31] and [9]. Whereas, applying the current pulses specifically at the switching instances significantly improves the noise controlling into the switching and mixing circuits' outputs and hence known as dynamic current injection [29]. Current pulses from the switching pairs of the input circuits are also suppressed to a greater extent. The equivalent tail node capacitance in the differential pair structure imposes its noise contribution which could not be suppressed via this mechanism hence remains as a point of consideration. To tackle this situation, an inductive approach has been adopted which compensates for the capacitive noise injection in the modern RF circuits by introducing the resonating behaviour with this parasitic capacitive node.

Specifically, Gilbert cell mixers are employing the inductors at the tail node, to control the static and dynamic current injection which otherwise couples the noise into it [26, 29, 30]26= [28],[30] and [17]. But the circuit architectures with inductor implementation impose compatibility and chip area issues, as the inductor design consumes a lot of silicon area. Sometimes a negative impedance circuit is used in the mixer design where the capacitor in negative feedback offers an active inductive loading and hence tunes the tail node parasitic for resonance [20].

4. PROPOSED DOUBLE-BALANCED CROSS-COUPLED ACTIVE MIXER AND PERFOR-MANCE RESULTS

Figure 5 depicts the proposed down conversion Gilbert cell-based mixer's architecture. The basic transconductance behavior of transistors (Mn1 and Mn6) is used to convert the input RF signal into the current pulses, which also acts as switching for the differential pair. The LO signal get mixed to the RF via differential pair of transistors (Mn3-Mn4, Mn7-Mn8) and their transconductance nature. This finally produces the complementary IF outputs for each LO period, via the switching action of the differential crosscoupled architecture. Because it doesn't need a large swing between the gates of the differential pair to commutate the current, the requirement of the LO drive is greatly reduced. The proposed double-balanced current reuse architecture of the RF mixer provides better isolation between LO and RF than a passive mixer because there is no direct signal path from LO to RF. However, there is still LO leakage into the IF port through the parasitic capacitors between the gate and the drain of the switches in the proposed architecture of Figure 5. The shown double-balanced RF mixer solves this problem by coupling differential LO signals into the same IF output. Each side of the IF output is connected with two switches with 1800 phased LO signals so that the LO leakage from the two switches cancels each other. Therefore, only the mixed products of RF and LO appear at the IF outputs.

Now the performance evaluation of the proposed doublebalanced architecture has been performed in ADS tool with 130-nm RF-CMOS technology. A quick time-domain reading is performed by transient analysis where the RF and LO ports are fed with 2 GHz and 3 GHz sinusoids respectively. IF port is terminated with 10 K resistances and measurements are done with transient and harmonic balance both (Figure 6).

The harmonic balance simulator shows the spectral content directly in the terms of harmonics. The proposed mixer architecture in Figure 5 has been designed and simulated for the said environment to achieve the desired CG and NF with a 130-nm RF CMOS process. The design has first been tested for proper mixing operation at C- band (2-6 GHz) and then redesigned with the same architecture and topology but scaled values, for K-Band applications also.

Figure 7 (a) and (b) presents the transient and har-





Figure 5. Proposed double-balanced Current reuse Mixer topology with 2.5V supply



Figure 6. simulation setup for the transient and spectral content of the proposed mixer's operation



Figure 7. Proposed double-balanced Mixer topology



Figure 8. Transient and harmonic balance results of the mixing phenomenon @ IF port



Figure 9. Isolation of the LO power from RF port

monic balance view of the RF port and LO port signals respectively, whereas Figure 8 depicts the IF port signal as the mixing result of the RF and LO signals. The expected mixing results could be easily seen from Figure 8, where a significant 1GHz and 5GHz spectral component could be seen as the mixing result.

A slightly high supply bias of 2.5 V has been chosen for the proposed mixer architecture at 130 nm CMOS process, to keep the conversion gain as high as possible and NF at the lower side. The supply is fully compatible with the UMC process and the design resulted in 26.8 mW power consumption. A conversion gain as high as 24.2 dB is achieved while simulating it in the k-band from 23 to 25 GHz. The LO feed has been kept at a reasonable input power of -3 dBm, which gives a low noise figure of 7.9 dB. The high input compression point at -17.8 dBm (for 1-dB) along with low NF and high CG makes it highly suitable for the RF frequency range of 24-26 GHz.

An isolation measurement has been performed via the quantitative relations for the LO, RF and IF power measurement. The power performance has been plotted in Figure 9 for a variable RF power sweep from -50 to -20 dBm, and the corresponding LO powers at RF and IF ports are measured using equations.

The marker in Figure 9 shows the LO power interruption at Rf and IF ports for the desired RF power range (-30 dBm here). The inverter mode buffer amplifiers stages have been added (not shown in Figure 5) to the balanced IF ports, which helped in the significant improvement of the isolation performance of the mixer. During the simulation from 23 to 26 GHz (for prescribed K-band), the proposed mixer operation results in isolation for LO-to-RF, LO-to-IF as 36 dB and 30 dB, respectively. A comprehensive comparative table (Table 1) has been prepared to showcase the evaluated performance of the proposed double balanced current reuse down-conversion mixer in 130-nm CMOS technology, against some well-known and published designs in the previous literatures. The most important performance parameters such as, Conversion gain (CG), Noise Figure (NF) and Isolation are listed to highlight the novel achievements by the proposed work in this paper. Certain anomaly in the noise performance has been accepted due to the variation in the DSB and SSB noise figure calculation of the literatures.

The comparative performance evaluation of the proposed mixer design in this work (Table 1) clearly establishes its worth for the modern RF front end transceiver applications (K-Band). The opted double balanced architecture greatly improves upon the leakage (LO-RF and LO-IF), thereby providing excellent isolation of 36 dB and 30 dB respectively. Also the superior linearity feature (lower IP1) promises its candidature for the higher dynamic range. This adds on to the higher conversion gain and makes it handpicked choice for noisy RF systems, where higher SNR is a stringent requirement. A reasonable power dissipation (high supply bias for the chosen process node), has been a soft compromise for the high conversion gain (due to high Gm) and better noise performance of the mixer's architecture.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper consists of the qualitative analysis of the modern RF down-conversion mixers and presents an optimum solution with double balanced current reuse architecture. Various challenges in the RF receiver architectures and constraints to the conventional mixers' topologies have been presented and three major aspects of the multi-band down-conversion mixer architectures, namely high conversion gain, sub-harmonic dual-band operation and current reuse cross-coupled double-balanced operation are discussed qualitatively. The proposed design has attempted to incorporate all these aspects and a doublebalanced cross-coupled architecture in 130-nm RF-CMOS (UMC) technology was presented. High linearity has been achieved as a -17.8 dBm compression point (for 1-dB) with high transconductance differential pair. The proposed mixer architecture offered the optimum performance on the abovesaid aspects of low power, low noise and high conversion gain (24.2 dB). The multi-band operation capacity has also been verified from the simulation in the ADS environment. With a 2.5 V supply voltage, the mixer consumes an overall dc power of 26.8 mW. These features of higher conversion gain along with higher compression point and exceptional isolation brings in the novelty attribute to the presented work. The proposed down-conversion mixer, which employs double-balanced current reuse cross-coupled architecture has come up with optimized values for all the essential parameters for an RF CMOS mixer in k-band. This, thereby demonstrates its suitability as a handpicked model for this RF range applications.

Acknowledgements: We (Authors) would like to thank the Integral Information and Research Centre of Integral University, Lucknow, India for providing an opportunity to carry out this research work. This work is an intellectual property of Integral University vides the Manuscript Communication no. **IU/R&D/2021-MCN0001179**.

References

- E. A. Klumperink, S. M. Louwsma, G. J. Wienk, and B. Nauta, "A cmos switched transconductor mixer," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 1231–1240, 2004.
- [2] M. T. Terrovitis and R. G. Meyer, "Noise in current-commutating cmos mixers," *IEEE Journal of solid-state circuits*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 772–783, 1999.
- [3] B. Guo and G. Wen, "Periodic time-varying noise in currentcommutating cmos mixers," *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, vol. 117, pp. 283–298, 2011.
- [4] W. Cheng, A. J. Annema, J. A. Croon, and B. Nauta, "Noise and nonlinearity modeling of active mixers for fast and accurate estimation," *IEEE transactions on circuits and systems I: regular papers*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 276–289, 2010.
- [5] J. Lerdworatawee and W. Namgoong, "Generalized linear periodic time-varying analysis for noise reduction in an active mixer," *IEEE journal of solid-state circuits*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1339–1351, 2007.
- [6] B. Bakkaloglu, P. Fontaine, A. N. Mohieldin, S. Peng, S. J. Fang, and F. Dulger, "A 1.5-v multi-mode quad-band rf receiver for gsm/edge/cdma2k in 90-nm digital cmos process," *IEEE journal* of solid-state circuits, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1149–1159, 2006.
- [7] V. Jain, F. Tzeng, L. Zhou, and P. Heydari, "A single-chip dual-band 22–29-ghz/77–81-ghz bicmos transceiver for automotive radars," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 3469– 3485, 2009.
- [8] H. Darabi and A. A. Abidi, "Noise in rf-cmos mixers: A simple physical model," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 15–25, 2000.
- [9] Z. H. Khan, S. Kumar, and D. Balodi, "A comparative analysis of different types of mixer architecture for modern rf applications," in *Proceedings of Trends in Electronics and Health Informatics*. Springer, 2022, pp. 429–440.
- [10] Y.-H. Chang, C.-Y. Huang, and Y.-C. Chiang, "A 24ghz downconversion mixer with low noise and high gain," in 2012 7th European Microwave Integrated Circuit Conference. IEEE, 2012, pp. 285–288.
- [11] S.-G. Lee and J. Choi, "Current-reuse bleeding mixer," *Electronics letters*, vol. 36, no. 8, p. 1, 2000.
- [12] D.-Y. Yoon, S.-J. Yun, J. Cartwright, S.-K. Han, and S.-G. Lee, "A high gain low noise mixer with cross-coupled bleeding," *IEEE microwave and wireless components letters*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 568–570, 2011.
- [13] T. A. Abdelrheem, H. Y. Elhak, and K. M. Sharaf, "A concurrent dual-band mixer for 900-mhz/1.8 ghz rf front-ends," in 2003 46th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, vol. 3. IEEE, 2003, pp. 1291–1294.
- [14] B. R. Jackson and C. E. Saavedra, "A dual-band self-oscillating mixer for *c*-band and *x*-band applications," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 318–323, 2010.



- [15] C. J. Lee and C. S. Park, "A d-band gain-boosted current bleeding down-conversion mixer in 65 nm cmos for chip-to-chip communication," *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 143–145, 2016.
- [16] Z. Liu, J. Dong, Z. Chen, Z. Jiang, P. Liu, Y. Wu, C. Zhao, and K. Kang, "A 62-90 ghz high linearity and low noise cmos mixer using transformer-coupling cascode topology," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 19338–19344, 2018.
- [17] J. Yoon, H. Kim, C. Park, J. Yang, H. Song, S. Lee, and B. Kim, "A new rf cmos gilbert mixer with improved noise figure and linearity," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 626–631, 2008.
- [18] Y.-S. Hwang, S.-s. Yoo, and H.-J. Yoo, "A 2 ghz and 5ghz dualband direct conversion rf frontend for multi-standard applications," in *Proceedings 2005 IEEE International SOC Conference*. IEEE, 2005, pp. 189–192.
- [19] P. De Paco, R. Villarino, G. Junkin, O. Menendez, E. Corrales, and J. Parron, "Dual-band mixer using composite right/left-handed transmission lines," *IEEE microwave and wireless components letters*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 607–609, 2007.
- [20] M. El-Nozahi, A. Amer, E. Sánchez-Sinencio, and K. Entesari, "A millimeter-wave (24/31-ghz) dual-band switchable harmonic receiver in 0.18-µ m sige process," *IEEE transactions on microwave theory and techniques*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 2717–2730, 2010.
- [21] A. Mazzanti, M. B. Vahidfar, M. Sosio, and F. Svelto, "A low phasenoise multi-phase lo generator for wideband demodulators based on reconfigurable sub-harmonic mixers," *IEEE journal of solid-state circuits*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 2104–2115, 2010.
- [22] D.-R. Lin, K.-Y. Kao, and K.-Y. Lin, "A k-band high-gain linear cmos mixer with current-bleeding neutralization technique," in 2018 Asia-Pacific Microwave Conference (APMC). IEEE, 2018, pp. 267– 269.
- [23] D. Ahn, D.-W. Kim, and S. Hong, "A k-band high-gain downconversion mixer in 0.18μ m cmos technology," *IEEE Microwave* and Wireless Components Letters, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 227–229, 2009.
- [24] Y.-T. Chang, H.-Y. Wu, and H.-C. Lu, "A k-band high-gain downconverter mixer using cross couple pair active load," in 2016 11th European microwave integrated circuits conference (EuMIC). IEEE, 2016, pp. 377–380.
- [25] Y. Peng, J. He, H. Hou, H. Wang, S. Chang, Q. Huang, and Y. Zhu, "A k-band high-gain and low-noise folded cmos mixer using current-reuse and cross-coupled techniques," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 133218–133226, 2019.
- [26] B.-J. Huang, K.-Y. Lin, and H. Wang, "Millimeter-wave low power and miniature cmos multicascode low-noise amplifiers with noise reduction topology," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 3049–3059, 2009.
- [27] Y.-S. Lin, K.-S. Lan, C.-C. Wang, C.-C. Chi, and S.-S. Lu, "6.3 mw 94 ghz cmos down-conversion mixer with 11.6 db gain and 54 db lo-rf isolation," *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 604–606, 2016.
- [28] D. Balodi, A. Verma, and A. G. Paravastu, "Low power lc-voltage controlled oscillator with- 140 dbc/hz@ 1 mhz offset using on-chip

inductor design in 0.13 μ m rf-cmos process for s-band application," *Circuit World*, 2019.

- [29] H. Darabi and J. Chiu, "A noise cancellation technique in active rfcmos mixers," *IEEE journal of solid-state circuits*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2628–2632, 2005.
- [30] D. Balodi, A. Verma, and A. G. Paravastu, "Design and analysis of low flicker-noise cmos mixers for direct-conversion receivers," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 4372–4380, 2006.
- [31] D. Balodi, Arunima, and P. A. Govindacharyulu, "Ultra-wideband quadrature lc-vco using capacitor-bank and backgate topology with on-chip spirally stacked inductor in 0.13 μm rf-cmos process covering s-c bands," *Microelectronics Journal*, vol. 99, p. 104727, 2020.



Zohaib Hasan Khan is working as an Assistant Professor at the Department of Electronics & Communication engineering, Integral University Lucknow. He has received the B. Tech, M. Tech and LLB degree. He is also a research scholar and persuing P. hD in Electronics & Communication engineering department, Integral University Lucknow. His research interests include CMOS-RF (Transceiver) Integrated Circuit design,

Digital Electronics, Mixers, Wireless communication, Solar Energy and Entrepreneurship, Data Communication Networks, Cyber crimes. Email: zohaibhasankhan@mail.com



Shailendra Kumar received B. Tech., M.Tech. and & Ph.D. degree in Electronics & Communication Engineering Currently, he is working as an Associate Professor II in the Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering at Integral University, Lucknow. His fields of interest are Image Processing, Video Processing & Communication and CMOS RF Circuit design. E-mail: shail288@gmail.com



Deepak Balodi has received the Ph.D. Degree in n Analog-RFIC Design. His domain is CMOS-RF (Transceiver) Integrated Circuit design. His research interests include Low power Analog, High Frequency Analog, BGAP voltage and current reference, Buck convertors, LDO, PLL, VCO, Mixers, LNA, IOs. He has a vast Experience as Analog and RF IC designer. Hands on experience in ADS (Keysight), Cadence Vir-

tuoso, SPICE Simulators, SILVACO (ATLAS) for device modelling.Email:deepakbalodi@gmail.com